March 17, 2020

4 5

This is a regular meeting of the Park County Planning & Zoning Commission held at 6:00pm in the EOC Room of the County Courthouse at 1002 Sheridan Ave., Cody, WY.

6 7

### **Commission Members Present:**

8 Marion Morrison, Chairman (by phone) 9 Kimberly Brandon-Wintermote, Vice Chairman 10 Linda Putney (by phone) **Duncan Bonine** Richard Jones

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

### **Staff Present:**

Joy Hill, Planning Director Kim Dillivan, Planner II Jolene Brakke, Office Assistant III Mary McKinney, Weed and Pest Brian Edwards, County Engineer (by phone)

19 20 21

22

23

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote opened the meeting at 6:00pm. She indicated that the Chairman is participating by phone so she will be running the meeting in her absence. Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote reviewed the rules of a public meeting and introduced the Board and Staff.

24 25 26

### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

27 28 29

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked the Board for comments or changes to the February 18, 2020 meeting minutes. A MOTION was made by Commissioner Jones to approve the minutes; the motion was SECONDED by Commissioner Bonine to approve the minutes as corrected. Motion was carried unanimously.

31 32 33

30

### **REGULAR AGENDA**

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

PUBLIC HEARING CONTINUED - Ravens Ranch Sketch Plan: Angie Valcarce requests approval of the sketch plan proposing a major subdivision comprised of eight lots: Lot 1 & 4 are 1.5 acres, Lots 2 & 5 are 1.8 acres, Lot 3 is 1.3 acres, Lot 6 is 3.2 acres, Lot 7 is 6.7 acres and Lot 8 is 8.2 acres. The parcel being divided is southeast of Cody, less than 500 feet from city limits, west of Highway 120 South and north of the Spicer Subdivision and Reesy Road in Park County, WY. The property has an address of 2799 Highway 120 South, Cody WY, and is located within Planned Unit Development #3 (PUD-3).

41 42 43

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote continued the hearing at 6:02pm.

44 45

There being no comments from Commission members, Kim Dillivan, Planner II, presented new information that has been received by the Planning and Zoning Department since the last hearing:

46 47 48

 A letter was received from DEQ indicating no adverse recommendations on water and sewer as presented.

49 50

 The applicant provided the WYDOT required traffic impact study; however as of the date of this meeting, WYDOT has not provided any comments regarding this study.

51 52  A letter was received from the State Engineer's Office indicating they are not supportive of the subdivision based upon information submitted so far.

53 54

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if any Commission members had questions for Staff.

March 17, 2020

 Chairman Morrison asked about the status of the wetlands review as no information had been provided to date. Staff indicated that no information about wetlands has been provided to date.

Applicant Angie Valcarce said that the Army Corps of Engineers provided a report on the

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if the applicant had any questions or comments.

wetlands, however it apparently has not been submitted to the Planning and Zoning Department. Mr. Steve Schulz shared information about their attempt to locate a water line on their property (at the past meeting it was referred to as the Sage Creek Water User line). They were able to find the exact location of the water line through the WYDOT right-of-way. They were told it was a Marathon water line. They called Marathon headquarters and they say there is no such Marathon water line in the right-of-way. They used dousing rods to locate the line. They believe they have confirmed the water line. Using the map provided (WYDOT) they have researched and were able to find the exact location of the secondary Sage Creek water line going through their property. They used an excavator and hit the line at five feet depth. Upon preliminary plat approval, they will find a best path to reroute the water line around the lots. They will provide the water association with a

formal easement, finally, which does not exist at this time.

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if any commission members had questions for the applicant.

- Commissioner Jones said at the last meeting the location of the water line was not confirmed. He sought clarification that the line has been found to enter and exit the Valcarce property. Mr. Schulz drew a depiction of the lot, highway and canal and showed the approximate location of the water line based upon their exploration work. He identified diversion boxes. He showed the line being live through the WYDOT right-of-way. He repeated that there is no Marathon water line in the right-of-way according to the Rocky Mountain Region office of Marathon. He doused (witched) the line along the right-of-way. The secondary line crosses the property and the canal. They excavated and found the live line through their property. They are thinking about providing an easement along the east side of the property, to redirect water away from the planned lots. They have spoken with some contractors about moving the line. They have not been able to locate any easement for the water line. They would like to reroute the line and provide Sage Creek water users with a formal easement. The applicant stated that all of the work was done and will be done at the applicant's expense.
- Chairman Morrison asked if the lot configuration is going to be changed to accommodate
  the proposed realignment of the water line. The applicant stated they have not changed
  the lot configuration and would be able to reroute the water line into the easement that is
  designated for the other various utilities.
- Chairman Morrison asked if the applicant has contacted all the folks on the Sage Creek water line to see if they have any issues with moving the line. Steve said the water line does not hold any formal meetings. There is no formal way to move this through their association
- Angie Valcarce said she spoke with Bucky Hall to find the line. They spoke with Baileys who also tie into the line along the highway. They spoke with Todd Frost (WYDOT), as well. They also spoke with Jim Nicholson, but not with regard to whether everyone is okay with moving the water line. Steve said the change would consist of digging the whole line in and within a couple of hours could continue to provide service to the Williams'.

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked the County Engineer if he has any questions for staff or the applicant.

March 17, 2020

- 106 107 108
- 109
- 110 111
- 114 115 116

112

113

117 118 119

120

121

122 123

135 136 137

134

139 140 141

142

143

144

138

145 146 147

148 149 150

151 152 153

154 155

- At this time, Brian doesn't have any comments, though he would like to know if WYDOT accepted or approved the traffic study/plan. He understands there are some recommendations/comments resulting from the study that may impact the applicant's plans. He is not aware of any limiting factors for the subdivision development otherwise.
- Kim Dillivan said we have not received any confirmation from WYDOT. We don't know if they have received, approved or denied the report.
- Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked about the turning radii and the hammerhead. Brian said he spoke with the applicant's engineer and got feedback from the fire department. The comments they had have been addressed and he is happy with the current layout.
- Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if Mary McKinney of Weed and Pest has any questions or comments for the applicant. Mary said they must have a Long-Term Noxious Weed Management Plan and she strongly encourages careful movement of material during excavation work.

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if there were comments from any members of the public.

- Jalie Meineke spoke on behalf of her clients, Ben and Kate Williams. She said they have not yet been approached about a proposal, including the one that was presented to the board tonight. Everything the board has been told has been based upon assumption. Until 7 days ago, when they excavated and broke the water line, they were not included. The line provides domestic, livestock watering and irrigation water to the Williams'. The lines in the right-of-way are old and shutdown, since replaced by Northwest Rural Water. The line across the Valcarce property is the Williams' primary water line. The Williams' do not want to move the water line. She feels the board cannot approve the sketch plan unless the Williams' had agreed to the movement of the water line. She has no concern about the water line running along the right-of-way since she is unaware that it is even active at this time. The primary concerns at the last meeting were made clear. There are several lots proposed across the water line with no protection offered (e.g., building envelopes). Further, the applicants moved the irrigation ditches without permission from her clients. The applicant has had a month to address issues and they have not made any attempt to communicate except for seven days ago when they broke the line. There should be approval from all users of the water line prior to any proposed movement of the line.
- Commissioner Jones recalled from the previous meeting that ownership and easement for the line were unclear. He asked what evidence there is that anyone owns the line or is responsible for it. Jalie said there is an implied easement.
- Commissioner Jones asked about the applicant's declaration that they would cover the expense of moving the line. Jalie said the mention of the relocation of the line was just revealed this evening. Commissioner Jones said he feels securing the easements would be an improvement for all involved. Jalie said they need to know more about what is being proposed to consider all the economic implications. There are some economic repercussions that they would not favor.
- Mr. Ben Williams approached the map drawn on the board. He stated that the water witching was not accurate. When they bought the property, they were told exactly where the water came across the [Valcarce] property. He identified the pre-1971 Marathon line - there are documents showing it. He said their water line goes under the canal, across several proposed lots of the Valcarce subdivision, and to the Williams property. Years ago, a water leak helped them locate the water line direction running south of their property. Four years ago, Mr. Williams dug and found where the water line hits the south edge of his property, adjacent to the Valcarce property. He knows there is highway work coming

March 17, 2020

that could change some things in the right-of-way. He is familiar with past and current line placement on his property. There is connection to the front and back of the house. There is no "secondary" water line as mentioned. The crossover point at the canal is not clearly known. But in earlier years their water shutoff was done at a point he indicated on the drawing. It was later moved.

Commissioner Jones asked if the water line was moved, would it matter to Mr. Williams

162

163

164

165

166

167

168 169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196 197

198

199

200

201

202

- Commissioner Jones asked if the water line was moved, would it matter to Mr. Williams where it comes to the point on his property. Mr. Williams said it does matter to him. He has irrigation rights on the Valcarce property at multiple locations. He stated that Mr. Schulz moved the irrigation ditches and then got aggressive. He said he won't allow them, as long as he can, to get rid of his water. They are going to have to put the irrigation line back. They have an historical easement and that is where it is going to stay as long as he has anything to say about it.
- Kate Williams said they are very frustrated by the situation. It is an historical easement
  and they feel that by the law they do not have to move it and do not care to move it.
  According to Mr. Williams they (Valcarce and Schulz) have been dishonest with the
  Planning Director.
- Mr. Bucky Hall is the de facto secretary of the Sage Creek water line. The responsibility was sort of dropped in his lap. He and others (Paul Roberts, Justin Bailey and Ben Williams) have been taking care of the line for years. The fact that the applicant suspects there is water along the highway is news to him. He is skeptical that that is the case. He pointed out where the shutoff for the Williams' water line is on the south side of the Valcarce property as shown on the drawing. He is fairly sure there is no water line along the highway. If he had to convene the Sage Creek water users, he supposes they could convene to "vote" on moving the line. His property is to the south and they have had their own issues with wells and pipelines. It is highly unlikely that Roberts will ever tie back in. He doesn't see that there would be a problem with moving the line further towards the highway. He said both parties have good points about property rights. This is a PUD which is a different animal. He suspects that the lion's share of the users could care less. As long as the Williams' would be on board, he doesn't think it would be an issue. Mr. Hall added that the Valcarce property has drainage issues. The Williams' property needs to be protected from drainage related to development on the Valcarce property. He also figures septic will be a problem and enhanced systems will likely be required. But the Williams' would need to be protected from runoff and drainage once development starts. There are no schematics of the water line. It is a very old line.
- Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked about the number of users. Bucky said there
  are about 10 users on the line and it would be expensive for folks to tie into Northwest
  Rural Water.
- Kim Dillivan added that a subdivision improvements agreement and runoff and erosion control plan will be required.
- Joy Hill added that engineered systems will be required per DEQ.
- Bucky Hall said there should be some verbiage on the plat to protect the owner to the north.
- Commissioner Jones asked about expectations for runoff and erosion control plan.
- Commissioner Putney said that Brian from Public Works will require a runoff and erosion control plan and he will require approval of subdivision improvements before they are finalized.
- Bucky Hall asked when that would be addressed. Staff added prior to final plat.

March 17, 2020

203 Commissioner Bonine opened discussion.

- Commissioner Bonine said location of the water line is necessary to be noted. The lots
  would need to be reconfigured if the water line can't be moved. At that point, we wouldn't
  have an approvable sketch plan. If there is resolution to be had with what is known, it
  would be different, but they can't approve anything without knowing.
- Commissioner Jones said he agrees that they can't approve anything without finality. He said there should be some communication with the water users. There is an opportunity for improvement of the water line. He would not want to approve anything without a proposal that is resolved.
- Bucky Hall said the system is dated and showing wear. He said they have a handle on how to fix breaks when they occur. As of now they are reasonably sure there are no buildings constructed over the lines.
- Chairman Morrison agrees with the others in that it would be premature to approval the sketch plan as it is incomplete per our regulations.
- Commissioner Putney also agrees that there are too many unknowns and the plan is incomplete and could be changed quite drastically from where it is.
- Mr. Schulz stated that Mr. Hall told him the only way he knows about the whereabouts of anything is when there is a line break. He said he would like to improve the distance across their property with a new line. He said there are likely other breaks along the line. Whatever happens, they would dramatically improve the line and provide a formal easement if agreed upon.

Commissioner Bonine made a MOTION to continue the hearing indefinitely; SECONDED by Commissioner Jones. The motion was carried unanimously. The Planning Director asked for clarification as to what the applicant must provide to be considered complete. Commission members stated approval of water line users, a clear sketch of the location of water lines; if the proposal includes relocation of the line, there needs to be approval of the users. There needs to be a clearer, more complete sketch plan.

- Commissioner Putney said in addition to solving the water line issue, we also have a long
  list of other conditions from the previous meeting, items on the condition list that should
  be addressed. Since there is a long continuance, they have time to address some of these
  items. The Planning Director indicated that the hearing would not be set and re-noticed
  until all requested information is received by the Planning office and deemed complete.
- Kim Dillivan said it would be nice to get the irrigation concerns addressed. They will have
  to submit an irrigation plan from the Cody Canal District and it would be nice to have that
  resolved.
- Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote indicated she would like some clarification regarding the existence of the canal on the property.
- The Planning Director stated that there is an active canal in existence that is the boundary
  of the South side of the property and it has been brought to her attention by Bucky Hall
  that there is a fair amount of seepage from the canal and the irrigation district should have
  some input on this topic.

<u>PUBLIC HEARING – 3 Sisters MS-34:</u> Lonnie (Russ) and Diane Whitlock request approval of the sketch plan for the 3 Sisters Minor Subdivision-34. The proposed subdivision is comprised of four lots: one 5-acre lot, one 6.38-acre lot, one 10.4-acre lot and one 15.7-acre lot designated for residential use. The proposed subdivision is located on a 37.54-acre parcel located in Lot 37-J, Section 36, T52N, R103W of the 6th P.M., Park County, Wyoming, with an address of 26 Jody Lane, Cody, Wyoming in a GR-5 (General Rural-5 acre) zoning district.

March 17, 2020

- 253 Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote opened the public hearing at 7:09pm.
- 254255

There being no comments from Commission members, Kim Dillivan, Planner II, presented the Staff Report.

256257

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if any Commission members had guestions for Staff.

258259260

 Brian Edwards stated that he doesn't really have any comments in addition to what he has stated already. He met with the applicant on-site about access. The current configuration of two shared proposed driveways is suitable in his opinion. There will be driveway standards to be met.

261 262

263

264

267

 Chairman Morrison asked staff if we know what the distance is to the water well noted in the staff report. The applicant stated 200 feet from the west boundary of lot 4.

265 266 Chairman Morrison asked since Lot 1 is served by Northwest Rural Water District, will the other lots be served by them. Staff added that the plan is for the other lots to be served by wells.

268 269 270  Mary McKinney of Weed and Pest has not yet completed an on-site survey. She has spoken with the applicant about previous surveys. She will complete a survey. A Long-Term Noxious Weed Plan will be required.

271 272

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if the applicant had any questions or comments.

273274275

Mr. Russ Whitlock said he owns the property. He said he intended to have the property
for his daughters, but the statutes have changed for family exemptions. He intends to have
two of his daughters on the property. Lot 2 will be next to develop. Lot 3 will be later. Lot
4 is intended to stay with him, as well as Lot 1.

276277278

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if any commission members had questions for the applicant.

 Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked about a drain line needing to be shown on the sketch plan per the irrigation district. The applicant stated that the surveyor is aware of the requirement and they have been instructed to tag the pipe where it daylights and where the line is buried. It is in the works. It will be on the plat before the next phase.

283 284 285

286

287

 Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote mentioned that the irrigation plan indicates that Lot 1 owners are responsible for purchasing the gated pipe. Is there a plan for an HOA for when Lot 1 is potentially sold? The applicant stated that there will need to be something in place.

288 289 290

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if the applicant will enter into a road maintenance agreement with the other lot owners regarding Jody Lane. The applicant indicated he would.

295

296

Chairman Morrison asked about the water line shown from the north down to Lot 1 and if it is NRWD. She asked if there are taps that could potentially feed the other lots. Mr. Whitlock is hesitant to give easement. He handed letters from NRWD and the fire department to staff. He said he is hesitant to put three more water service lines across the pasture. He will help his kids if they want it, however the well water is just as good out there and readily available. His problem is that NRWD needs to be responsible for putting easements along public rights-of-way and not across private ground.

297298299

Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if there were comments from any members of the public.

300 301 302

• Mr. Steve Bales is a neighbor and board member of the Lakeview Irrigation District. They haven't had a chance to formally meet with Mr. Whitlock yet. He sees that he is intending

March 17, 2020

303 to use flood irrigation. He asked if the drain ditches will drain the water off the lot without 304 affecting the neighboring lots. Mr. Whitlock drew a picture of the property and showed how 305 the water flows on the property. Mr. Bales asked about the wastewater coming off of Lots 306 1 and 2. He wants to make sure water does not flow from one lot onto the other. He said 307 the irrigation district has been a bit more lax than others, but when you get into the smaller 308 lots the irrigation districts are requiring sprinklers. If there is a plan that will work, they will 309 approve it. But when you get people moving in that don't understand water, flood irrigation 310 is not the most efficient. 311 312 Commissioner Jones made a MOTION to close the hearing at 7:35pm; SECONDED by 313 Commissioner Morrison. Commissioner Putney asked for discussion to go over the conditions 314 prior to closing the hearing. Chairman Morrison asked to do so. 315 316 Conditions proposed in the staff report were addressed/changed as follows: 317 Delete #2: 318 Delete #3; 319 Delete #4: 320 Delete #5: 321 Change #6 to reflect the need for further clarification and recommendation from the 322 irrigation district prior to final plat; 323 Update finding i to show that public works submitted a response; 324 Udpate finding p to show that the postal service response has be received: 325 Update item q to show that a response has been received from the school district; 326 Update item I to show that a response from the fire district was received; 327 Update item gg to show that subsurface eval is complete; 328 Update item o to reflect comments in the letter provided by NRWD; and 329 Update item u to show that a letter was received from Lakeview Irrigation District. 330 331 Commissioner Bonine made MOTION to close the public hearing at 7:50pm. SECONDED by 332 Commissioner Jones. The motion was carried unanimously. 333 334 Commissioner Jones made a MOTION to approve Resolution 2020-5 with the following conditions 335 as discussed and changes to the findings as discussed: 336 337 1.. The applicant shall provide all easements as requested by applicable utilities and 338 special districts, irrigation districts or public agencies providing services. The width of 339 any utility easement shall be sufficient to allow adequate maintenance of the system. 340 but in no case shall such utility easement be less than 20 feet in width. Easements 341 must be identified on the final plat; 2. 342 An irrigation/water distribution plan has been submitted to Lakeview Irrigation District, 343 for their comments and recommendations, and prior to final plat additional 344 clarification and recommendations shall be provided; 345 3. A long-term noxious weed management plan must be approved by Weed & Pest prior 346 to final plat review by the Board of County Commissioners; 4. 347 Electricity from Rocky Mountain Power must be stubbed to each lot line prior to final plat review by the Board of County Commissioners; and 348 349 5. The applicant shall otherwise comply with standards in the Park County Development 350 Regulations and the minimum subdivision requirements as set forth in Wyoming

351

Statute 18-5-306.

## Planning & Zoning Commission Minutes March 17, 2020

352 353 354	The motion was SECONDED by Commissioner Bonine. The motion carried. See Resolution 2020-5 attached hereto and incorporated herein.			
355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362	<u>PUBLIC HEARING – Zoning of Un-zoned Parcel-Zoning Map Amendment:</u> Request to consider the zoning district to be assigned to an un-zoned 297.5-acre parcel owned by Park County with a site address of 61 Road 7WC (Newton Lake Road). The property is described as the NW1/4 of Section 23 and the SW1/4 of Section 14, T53N R102W, 6th P.M., Park County, Wyoming. The land was previously under federal ownership and was, therefore, un-zoned; the Board of County Commissioners and Director of Planning and Zoning have requested that a zoning district designation be considered by way of the zoning map amendment process.			
363	Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote opened the public hearing at 7:55pm.			
364 365 366 367	There being no comments from Commission members, Joy Hill, Planning Director, presented the Staff Report.			
368 369 370	Acting Chairman Brandon-Wintermote asked if any Commission members had questions for Staff.  • Commissioner Brandon-Wintermote asked if anyone knows why the BLM land remains within. No one knows.			
371 372 373 374 375 376	<ul> <li>Chairman Morrison asked about the land to be acquired.</li> <li>Chairman Morrison asked about other zoning district possibilities.</li> <li>Chairman Morrison asked if the existing use was grandfathered.</li> <li>Commissioner Bonine asked if there is any merit in considering different zoning within the different planning areas of the property.</li> </ul>			
377 378	There were no members of the public in attendance.			
379 380 381	Commissioner Bonine made a MOTION to close the hearing at 8:21pm; SECONDED by Commissioner Jones. The motion was carried unanimously.			
382 383 384	Chairman Morrison made a MOTION to recommend GR-35 zoning for the un-zoned parcel by Resolution 2020-06.			
385 386 387	The motion was SECONDED by Commissioner Putney. The motion carried. See Resolution 2020-06 attached hereto and incorporated herein.			
388 389	Chairman Morrison asked about updates on the Planning and Zoning Department happenings.			
390 391	The Planning Director delivered a report for the Planning and Zoning Department.			
392 393 394	There being no other business, a MOTION was made by Commissioner Bonine to adjourn the meeting at 8:30pm. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Jones. All in favor.			
395 396 397 398	Respectfully submitted,  Jolene Brakke, Secretary			

### RESOLUTION 2020 - 05 PARK COUNTY PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION

### TITLE: RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE 3 SISTERS MS - 34 SKETCH PLAN

WHEREAS Lonnie R. and Diane C. Whitlock propose to create a four-lot subdivision comprised of one 5.07-acre lot, one 6.38-acre lot, one 10.40-acre lot and one 15.70-acre lot designated for residential use. The proposed subdivision is located on a 37.55-acre parcel located in Lot 37-J, Section 36, T52N, R103W of the 6th P.M., Park County, Wyoming, with an address of 26 Jody Lane, Cody, Wyoming in a GR-5 (General Rural-5 acre) zoning district.

WHEREAS the application and supporting documents are in substantial compliance with Park County Development Standards and Regulations pertaining to minor subdivision sketch plans;

**WHEREAS** the Planning & Zoning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing on March 17, 2020 to consider the sketch plan application and made findings as follows:

- a. The lots as configured conform to the GR-5 zoning district where they are located;
- Deed (dated June 1, 2017) to Lonnie R. Whitlock and Diane C. Whitlock, husband and wife, from Cedarhedge, LLC, a Wyoming Limited Liability Company, affirms ownership. (QCD Document #2017-2604);
- c. The subdivision is not within a mile of any municipality;
- d. A complete sketch plan application, including payment, was received on January 21, 2020;
- e. A Notice of Intent to Subdivide was published on December 31, 2019 and January 7, 2020;
- f. A Public Hearing notice was published in the *Cody Enterprise* on February 25, 2020 and March 10, 2020;
- g. All agency referral requirements have been met;
- h. Park County Treasurer's office confirmed 2019 property taxes are paid on this property;
- i. Park County Public Works was notified on February 20, 2020 and has responded;
- j. A Jody Lane Maintenance Association Agreement has been submitted;
- k. Garbage disposal service is available through local, private companies;
- Park County Fire District #2 was notified on February 10, 2020 and has responded;

- m. Park County Weed & Pest has conducted an initial weed inspection and a long-term noxious weed management plan is required;
- n. Wyoming Game & Fish Department was notified on February 10, 2020 but has not responded;
- Northwest Rural Water District was notified, responded, and currently provides service to proposed Lot 1;
- p. United States Postal Service was notified on February 10, 2020 and has responded;
- q. Park County School District #6 was notified on February 10, 2020 and has responded;
- r. TCT was notified on February 10, 2020 and is available to provide terrestrial wireless internet service and VOIP phone and internet service to this property;
- s. State Fire Inspector, Nick Hudson, was notified on February 10, 2020 but has not responded;
- t. Cody Conservation District submitted a soils report;
- u. Lakeview Irrigation District was notified of the subdivision in December of 2019, and has submitted comments;
- v. Lakeview Irrigation District has received an irrigation/water distribution plan;
- w. No public comments have been received;
- x. Pre-application meeting requirements have been met;
- y. A title report has been submitted;
- z. No new subdivision roads or open/public spaces are proposed;
- aa. Electricity is adjacent to proposed Lots 1 and 2;
- bb. Natural gas is not available in the area;
- cc. Cellular phone service is adequate in the area;
- dd. There are no apparent or identified hazardous conditions on the lots:
- ee. Legal access to each lot is from Jody Lane;
- ff. Easements are shown on the sketch plan:
- gg. A complete subsurface evaluation data has been submitted;
- hh. A water analysis has been conducted on a water well in the vicinity;
- ii. The lot sizes proposed conform to the lot design and improvement standards;
- jj. The subdivision is not within the mapped floodplain; and
- kk. The subdivision is located in the mapped agricultural overlay district.

WHEREAS the Planning & Zoning Commission concludes the proposed subdivision is generally consistent with the goals and policies of the Park County Land Use Plan and is consistent with the standards and procedures of the 2015 Park County Development Standards and Regulations;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED based on the foregoing, the Planning & Zoning Commission hereby recommends approval of the sketch plan for the 3 Sisters Minor Subdivision-34, subject to the following conditions:

- The applicant shall provide all easements as requested by applicable utilities and special districts, irrigation districts or public agencies providing services. The width of any utility easement shall be sufficient to allow adequate maintenance of the system, but in no case shall such utility easement be less than 20 feet in width. Easements must be identified on the final plat;
- Comments and/or recommendations, if any, from the Lakeview Irrigation
   District regarding the irrigation/water distribution plan must be submitted prior
   to sketch plan review by the Board of County Commissioners;
- 3. A long-term noxious weed management plan must be approved by Weed & Pest prior to final plat review by the Board of County Commissioners;
- 4. Electricity from Rocky Mountain Power must be stubbed to each lot line prior to final plat review by the Board of County Commissioners; and
- The applicant shall otherwise comply with standards in the Park County Development Regulations and the minimum subdivision requirements as set forth in Wyoming Statute 18-5-306.

**ADOPTED** by the Park County Planning & Zoning Commission this 17<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2020.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION PARK COUNTY, WYOMING

ATTEST:

Kimberly Brandon-Wintermote, Vice-Chairman

Jolene Brakke, Secretary

### RESOLUTION 2020 - 06 PARK COUNTY PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION

# TITLE: RECOMMENDATION TO DESIGNATE A GENERAL RURAL 35-ACRE ZONING DISTRICT TO A PARK COUNTY PROPERTY, THUS AMENDING THE COUNTY ZONING MAP

WHEREAS, on November 10, 2010, Park County claimed the patent to approximately 297.50 acres of land previously held in ownership by the United States with the following legal description: T.53 N., R.102 W., 6<sup>TH</sup> P.M. SEC.14, NW¼SW¼, W½NE¼SW¼, S½S½NE¼NE¼SW¼, SE¼NE¼SW¼, W½SW¼SW¼, W½SW¼SW¼, E½SE¼SW¼SW¼, E½SE¼SW¼SW¼, E½SE¼SW¼SW¼, E½SE¼SW¼SW¼, E½SE¼SW¼SE¼SW¼, E½SW¼SE¼SW¼, SW¼SW¼SE¼SW¼ and SEC. 23 NW¼;

WHEREAS, the land was un-zoned at the time of the transfer of ownership:

WHEREAS, the Park County Planning and Zoning Director and Board of County Commissioners requested that the Planning and Zoning Commission consider and recommend a zoning district designation to be assigned to the Park County parcel ("the parcel") to determine the uses allowed to be permitted on the land;

**WHEREAS**, the Park County Planning and Zoning Commission held a duly noticed special meeting and public hearing on March 17, 2020 to consider a zoning district designation for the parcel and found the following;

- a. Public lands surround the parcel on all sides causing this parcel to appear as an island in this midst of un-zoned territory;
- b. The property lies mostly within an area marked as "Conservation" in the 1998 Land Use Plan which is intended for low-density and low-impact development;
- c. The property lies partially within or on the cusp of "Medium Intensity Rural" in the Land Use Plan which is suitable for 5-acre and larger subdivision lots and allows for more commercial and light industrial development than the Conservation category does;
- d. The property is split nearly in half with the northern portion in the Clark Planning Area and the southern portion in the Cody Local Planning Area;
- e. The Clark Planning Area encourages large lot sizes (20+ acres);
- f. The Cody Local Planning Area encourages lower density and larger open spaces with density decreasing and open space increasing with distance from town;
- g. The land is currently occupied by a shooting complex and related activities;
- h. There are numerous buildings, small wastewater systems and other

- developments (RV sites, shooting areas, trails, etc.) on the property to support the shooting complex use;
- i. The nearest zoning districts/private lands are GR-35 approximately 1,300 feet to the west and GR-5 approximately 2,500 feet to the east;
- j. The nearest private lands are predominantly classified as "agricultural" according to the Assessor's records;
- k. Agricultural activities are not known to have occurred on this land;
- Private land in the Clark Planning Area is all zoned GR-35, while private land in the Cody Local Planning Area, outside of the city limits, is predominantly GR-5 and RR-2;
- m. The average parcel size within ½ to 2 miles of the parcel ranges from just over 6,362 acres down to ~287 acres;
- n. Significant un-zoned, public open space/undeveloped acreage exists nearby in the Clark and Cody Local Planning Areas, the future zoning of which is important to consider;
- o. GR-35 zoning promotes the retention of open space and rural areas;

WHEREAS the Planning & Zoning Commission concludes that the land in question, when considered within its surroundings, lends itself best to a low-density zoning designation, such as General Rural 35-Acre (GR-35), which supports large-acre parcels and the protection of open spaces that have been preserved by federal ownership, and allows applicants to seek a variance for unallowable uses within any zoning district;

**WHEREAS**, amending the County Zoning Map to assign a GR-35 zoning district to the Park County parcel meets the following criteria:

- i. The map amendment does not single out a parcel of land for a zoning classification that would promote a land use that is incompatible and inconsistent with the existing or planned uses of the surrounding areas;
- ii. The map amendment is in accordance with the County's Land Use Plan; and
- iii. The map amendment substantially addresses a public purpose or need that outweighs any detriment to the rights of neighboring property owners;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** based on the foregoing, the Planning & Zoning Commission hereby recommends an amendment to the County Zoning Map to assign a GR-35 zoning designation to the Park County parcel described herein.

**ADOPTED** by the Park County Planning & Zoning Commission this 17<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2020.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION PARK COUNTY, WYOMING

ATTEST:

Kimberly Brandon-Wintermote, Vice Chairman

Jolene Brakke, Secretary



Cody, Wyoming (307) 527-8540

#### PARK COUNTY PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION

Meeting **6:00 P.M.**, Tuesday, March 17, 2020 in the Alternate Emergency Operating Center (EOC Room), basement of the Courthouse Addition 1002 Sheridan Ave. Cody, WY.

This is a regular meeting of the Park County Planning & Zoning Commission open to the public. For more information please contact the Park County Planning & Zoning Department at 527-8540, 754-8540, or 1-800-786-2844.

### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Approve minutes from February 18, 2020 meeting.

### REGULAR AGENDA

PUBLIC HEARING CONTINUED - Ravens Ranch Major Subdivision Sketch Plan

PUBLIC HEARING - 3 Sisters MS-34 Sketch Plan

PUBLIC HEARING - Zoning Map Amendment - Cody Shooting Complex/Park County Land

#### OTHER BUSINESS

- 1. Chair's Report
- 2. Planning Director's Report

### **ADJOURN**

### **PLEASE SIGN IN**

## PLANNING and ZONING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

March 17, 2020

	Ravens Ranch Major Sketch Plan			
		3 Sisters Minor Subdivision-34 Sketch Plan Zoning of Unzoned Parcel-Zoning Map Amendment		
			7	
	Please PRINT your name	Name of the HEARING OF INTEREST	DO YOU WISH TO SPEAK	
1	Steve Balos	3 5,5fers	W03	
2	Ellen Rales	3 Sisters	GN'	
3	RUSS WhiTlack	3 SISTERS	Yes	
4	TAME MEINECKE	Ravens PANCH	\/	
5	Kat Williams	Ravers	1	
6	Benullan	Ralles		
8	BUCKY HALL	PAUENT	NAYBE	
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				